Benchmarking Bayesian Deep Learning on Diabetic Retinopathy Detection Tasks

University of Oxford * Equal Contribution

TL;DR

- We introduce two tasks motivated by real distributional shifts in diabetic retinopathy detection.
- We use downstream metrics to evaluate BDL methods, and: (i) Find that methods that capture both aleatoric and epistemic uncertainty outperform deterministic neural networks; (ii) Identify the failure of uncertainty quantification methods in a safety-critical automated diagnosis pipeline.

Domain: Diabetic Retinopathy Detection

- **BDL benchmark** desiderata:
 - (i) Accurately reflect a real-world setting;
- (ii) Be usable without extensive domain expertise;
- (iii) Account for aleatoric and epistemic uncertainty.



Figure 1 & Table 1: Left: Raw retina images from the unprocessed EyePACS dataset; **Right:** Clinical severity labels of EyePACS and APTOS retina images.



Figure 2: Automated Diagnosis Pipeline. For each input, a model provides a prediction and an uncertainty estimate; if the estimate is below γ (indicating low uncertainty) the diagnosis is processed without further review; else, it is referred to an expert.



Tim G. J. Rudner^{*†} Neil Band^{* †} Michael W. Dusenberry[‡]

[‡] Google Research

Qixuan Feng[†] Ghassen Jerfel[‡]

Benchmarking Tasks and Setup

Task Construction

Severity \ Prev. Split	Train	Test	$Country \setminus Da$
No DR		In-Domain Test	No DR
Mild DR	Train		Mild DR
Moderate DR			Moderate D
Severe DR	Shiftad Tast		Severe DR
Proliferative DR	Shirt	Shinted Test	

(a) Task 1: Severity Shift

Figure 3: (a) Task 1: Severity Shift. Partitioning of the EyePACS dataset. Goal: evaluate reliability for rare inputs. (b) Task 2: Country Shift. Partitioning of the EyePACS (United States) and APTOS (India) datasets. *Goal:* evaluate reliability under different patient populations and different collection devices.

Uncertainty Quantification Methods

- Deterministic Baselines:
- -Maximum A Posteriori (MAP)
- -Deep Ensembles [Lakshminarayanan et al., 2017]
- Established VI Methods for BNNs:
- -Gaussian Mean-Field VI [Blundell et al., 2015]
- -MC Dropout [Gal and Ghahramani, 2016]

• Improved VI Methods for BNNs:

- -Radial Gaussian Mean-Field VI [Farquhar et al., 2020]
- -Function-Space VI [Rudner et al., 2021]
- -Rank-1 BNNs [Dusenberry et al., 2020]

Downstream Metric: Selective Prediction

• For referral rate τ , refer all images with predictive uncertainty $\geq \tau$ to an expert. Assess model on remaining images to obtain performance p. Plot p w.r.t. all possible τ .

Full paper: rebrand.ly/bdl-retinopathy



Figure 6: Severity Shift. Predictive uncertainty for each clinical severity label domain and shifted datasets.









Figure 7: Country Shift. Predictive uncertainty for each clinical severity label (rows) and method (columns), for both in- (rows) and method (columns), for the distributionally shifted dataset (APTOS).