

FUNCTION-SPACE REGULARIZATION IN NEURAL NETWORKS: A PROBABILISTIC PERSPECTIVE Tim G. J. Rudner^{*†} Sanyam Kapoor[†] Shikai Qiu[†] Andrew Gordon Wilson[†] **PAPER** [†]New York University * Corresponding author: tim.rudner@nyu.edu 🔰 @timrudner **Function-Space Empirical Bayes Empirical Priors via Function-S** •Auxiliary model: $\hat{p}(\theta \mid \hat{y}, \hat{x}) \propto \hat{p}(\hat{y} \mid \hat{y})$ • Auxiliary likelihood: $\hat{p}(\hat{y}_k \mid \hat{x}, \theta; f) \doteq \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_k; f(\hat{x}; \theta)_k,$ with $K(\hat{x}, \hat{x}; \phi_0) \doteq h(\hat{x}; \phi_0) h(\hat{x}; \phi_0)$ • For $p(\theta) = \mathcal{N}(\theta; \mathbf{0}, \tau_{\theta}^{-1})$, then $\log \hat{p}(\hat{y} \mid \hat{x}, \theta; f) + \log p(\theta)$ $\propto -\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\tau_f}{2} f(\hat{x};\theta)_k^{\mathsf{T}} K(\hat{x},\hat{x};\phi_0)$ • Function-space empirical Bayes regu $\begin{array}{c} 0.40 \\ 0.30 \\ \underbrace{\textbf{\bigcirc}}_{0.15} \\ \underbrace{\textbf{\bigcirc}}_{0.00} \\ \end{array}$ $\mathcal{J}(\theta, \hat{x}) \doteq -\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\tau_f}{2} d_M^2(f(\hat{x}; \theta)_k)$ **Empirical Bayes Maximum A P** Function-space empirical Bayes mod $p(\theta \mid y_{\mathcal{D}}, x_{\mathcal{D}}) \propto p(y_{\mathcal{D}} \mid x_{\mathcal{D}})$ Function-space empirical Bayes MA $\mathcal{L}^{\text{EB-MAP}}(\theta) \doteq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p(y_{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)})$ **Empirical Bayes Variation** • Extended probabilistic model $p(\theta', \hat{x} \mid y_{\mathcal{D}}, x_{\mathcal{D}}) \propto p(y_{\mathcal{D}} \mid x_{\mathcal{D}}, \theta')$ • Empirical prior: $\hat{p}(\theta' | \hat{y}, \hat{x}) \propto \hat{p}(\hat{y} | \hat{y}, \hat{x})$ •Variational distribution: $q(\theta', \hat{x}) \doteq q$ • Variational objective: $\min_{q_{\Theta'} \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{E}_{p_{\hat{X}}}$ Function-space empirical Bayes region (1) $\mathcal{F}(\theta) \doteq -\frac{1}{IJ} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathcal{J}(\theta - I) = 0$ or with $\hat{X}^{(i)} \sim p_{\hat{Y}}$ and $\epsilon^{(j)}$ models trained from scratch and pretrained models. • Function-space empirical Bayes variational objective: Our code is available on GitHub (link in paper)! $+\log p_{\Theta}(\theta)$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{EB-VI}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{N} \sum_{D} \log p(y_{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)} | x_{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)}, \theta + \sigma \epsilon^{(s)}) - \mathcal{F}(\theta)$ gularization Full paper: https://timrudner.com/fseb

Main Takeaways

- •We propose Function-Space Empirical Bayes (FSEB) for training deterministic NNs and Bayesian NNs.
- FSEB leads to significantly improved predictive uncertainty quantification across a wide range of problems.
- FSEB yields a transparent and probabilistically principled function-space regularizer that is easy to implement on top of existing methods.



Figure 1: Predictive distributions obtained by training on the *Two Moons* datasets using standard parameter-space maximum a posteriori estimation (Left) and functionspace empirical Bayes (FSEB) (**Right**) in a two-layer MLP.

Background

- Consider data $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=1}^N = (X_\mathcal{D}, y_\mathcal{D})$ with inputs $x_n \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ and targets $y_n \in \mathcal{Y}$, where $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^Q$ for regression and $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \{0,1\}^Q$ for classification tasks
- Consider a parametric observation model $p_{Y|X,\Theta}(y \mid x, \theta; f)$ with mapping $f(\cdot; \theta) \doteq h(\cdot; \theta_h) \theta_L$ and a *prior* distribution over the parameters, $p_{\Theta}(\theta)$
- Probabilistic model:

 $p_{\Theta|Y,X}(\theta \mid y_{\mathcal{D}}, x_{\mathcal{D}}) \propto p_{Y|X,\Theta}(y_{\mathcal{D}} \mid x_{\mathcal{D}}, \theta) p_{\Theta}(\theta)$ • Likelihood factorization:

$$p(y_{\mathcal{D}} \mid x_{\mathcal{D}}, \theta) \doteq \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(y_{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)} \mid x_{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)}, \theta)$$

• MAP objective:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{MAP}}(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p_{Y|X,\Theta}(y_{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)} | x_{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)}, \theta) + p(\theta) = \mathcal{N}(\theta; \mathbf{0}, \sigma_0^2 I) \Rightarrow \text{standard } L_2\text{-norm regulation}$$

pace Regularization		
$\hat{x}, heta; f) p(heta)$		
$\tau_f^{-1} K(\hat{x}, \hat{x}; \phi_0)),$ $(\tau_f^{-1} + I)$	(2)	
$(f_{0})^{-1}f(\hat{x};\theta)_{k} - \frac{ au_{ heta}}{2}\ \theta_{0}\ $ ularizer:	$\ ^2_2,$	
$k, K(\hat{x}, \hat{x}; \phi_0)) - \frac{\tau_{\theta}}{2}$	$\ \theta\ _2^2$	
osteriori Estimation		
del:		
$(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \hat{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \hat{y}, \hat{x})$	(4)	
AP objective:		
$ x_{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)}, \theta) + \mathcal{J}(\theta, \hat{x})$	(5)	
nal Inference		
$ \hat{y} \hat{p}(\theta' \mid \hat{y}, \hat{x}) p(\hat{x}), $ $ \hat{x}, \theta'; f) p(\theta') $	(6)	
$ \begin{bmatrix} D_{KL}(q_{\Theta'} \parallel p_{\Theta' \mid Y_{\mathcal{D}}} \\ ularization estimator \end{bmatrix} $	$\left[, X_{\mathcal{D}} \right) \right]$ r:	
$+ \sigma \epsilon^{(j)}, \hat{X}^{(i)}) + C$	(7)	
$\sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$		

Empirical Evaluation		
Accuracy, Calibration,	& Selective Prediction	
Table 1: FashionMNIST.	Table 2: CIFAR-10.	
$Method Acc. \uparrow Sel. \ Pred. \uparrow NLL \downarrow ECE \downarrow$	$Method Acc. \uparrow Sel. \ Pred. \uparrow NLL \downarrow ECE \downarrow$	
PS-MAP93.8%±0.098.9%±0.00.26±0.003.6%±0.0FS-EB94.1%±0.198.8%±0.00.19±0.001.8%±0.1FS-VI94.1%±0.098.4%±0.00.24±0.002.6%±0.1	PS-MAP 93.8%±0.0 98.9%±0.0 0.26±0.00 3.6%±0.0 FS-EB 94.1%±0.1 98.8%±0.0 0.19±0.00 1.8%±0.1 FS-VI 94.1%±0.0 98.4%±0.0 0.24±0.00 2.6%±0.1	
\rightarrow FSEB leads to improved uncertainty quantification an		
leads to better NLL, ECE, and selective prediction accurac		
Generalization under Covariate Shift		
speckle_noise shot	speckle_noise shot_noise sho	
pixelate gaussian_blur gaussian gaussi	Pixelate	
Figure 2: (a) Accuracy	Figure 3: (b) Selective Prediction AU	
\rightarrow FSEB leads to improved generication under most corrupted Transfor Learning & Section 1.	eneralization and selective pro CIFAR-10 covariate shifts.	
Table 2: Transfer from a DecNet 19 p		
trained on ImageNet to CIFAR-10.	Detect Method	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dataset Method OOD AOROC PS-MAP 94.9%±0.4	
PS-MAP $96.2\% \pm 0.1$ $99.6\% \pm 0.0$ 0.13 ± 0.01 $3.2\% \pm 0.2$ $96.3\% \pm 0.0$ FS-EB $96.2\% \pm 0.1$ $99.6\% \pm 0.0$ $0.11 \pm 0.00 \mathbf{1.3\%} \pm 0.1 \mathbf{98.9\%} \pm 0.0$	0.7 0.1 HMNISI FS-EB ($x_C = KMNISI$) 99.9%±0.0 98.0%±0.4	
	$\begin{array}{l} \hline \\ \textbf{CIFAR-10} & PS-MAP & 93.0\% \pm 0.4 \\ FS-EB (x_C = \textbf{CIFAR100}) & \textbf{99.4\%} \pm 0.1 \\ FS-VI & 99.0\% \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	
VERED loads to improved N	II ECE and coloctive prodi	
-7 FOED leads to improved N tion for protrained models	LL, LLL, and selective predi-	
LIGHT of pretrained models.	ac composie chift dataction f	
→ FSEB Significantly improv		





